Enterprise - Department of Revenue Garnishments

Last Modified on 04/01/2023 2:34 am CDT

Overview

Depending on the state, the respective states Department of Revenue (DOR) may have a specific way in which garnishments should be calculated on employees' paychecks. Wisconsin, for example, requires garnishments to be calculated in a specific way, via sequencing and based on Gross pay.

This article will outline the process of setting up a DOR garnishment adjustment along with examples of running through the payroll process based on how the adjustment is setup depending on the employee.

This article covers the following:

- 1. Setting Up the DOR Adjustment
- 2. Adding the DOR Adjustment to Employees
- 3. Calculating Payroll

Setting Up the DOR Adjustment

Begin by navigating to Enterprise > All Options > Administration > Adjustments and select the "+" option to create a new adjustment.

Within the adjustment setup screen, enter the following:

- Core Adjustment Setup
 - Adjustment: The name of the adjustment
 - Category: DOR
 - This is the category that is required to be selected for this specific adjustment setup.
 - Description: The description of the adjustment
- Additional Setup
 - Will this adjustment be set up on the employee file? Yes
 - Is this adjustment a federal tax levy? No
 - Does this adjustment require a linked authority? Yes
 - Should this adjustment collect for missed pay periods? No
 - Will this adjustment be used for a secondary direct deposit? No
 - Will this adjustment be calculated off of Check Date instead of Weekend Date for yearly max? No

Note The remainder of the questions within the adjustment setup can remain blank.

- Default Rules
 - Enter any default rules you would like applied to employees for this adjustment.

Note The state should be sending you a letter with the intended amount/percentage to be garnished from the specific employee's paycheck.

Therefore, you may want to keep the "Default Rules" blank within the adjustment setup.

164 items av core adjustm	ailable ent setup Q	default rules		+ / ×
Adjustment	DOR	Amount		^
Adj Id	182	25.00 % of Gross		
Category	GROSS less earlier adjs (Dept of R 🔻			
Description	Department of Revenue			
Hier	High Tech Staffing 🔹			
Active	×			/ -
additional se	tup			
Will this adjustmen	nt be set up on the employee file?		Yes	810
Is this adjustment	a federal tax levy?		No	and a star
Does this adjustme	ent require a linked authority?		Yes	
Should this adjustr	nent collect for missed pay periods?		No	- K.
Will this adjustmen	nt be used for a secondary direct depo	osit?	No	
Will this adjustmer Weekend Date for	nt be calculated off of Check Date inst yearly max?	ead of	No	
If this adjustment	should show on an employee's W2, w	hat box		
If this adjustment s be labeled?	should show on an employee's W2, w	hat should it		
What is the defaul	t maximum that should be taken out o	over a year?		
What is the defaul	t maximum that should be taken out o	over a		1
What is the default period?	t maximum that should be taken out o	over a pay		
What is the defaul	t frequency for this adjustment?			•
If this adjustment .	aravidas affordable bealthears sources	an in		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

Once the adjustment has been setup as intended, select the "Save" option at the top left to complete the setup.

Adding the DOR Adjustment to Employees

As stated in the previous section of this article, the state's Department of Revenue will send you a letter with the intended amount/percentage to be garnished from the specific employee's paycheck.

Therefore, when adding the adjustment to the employee, ensure you are entering the correct amount/percentage (for this example, it will be 25% of Gross).

Navigate to the Employee Record > Pay Setup > Adjustments and select the "+" to add a new adjustment.

Within the Adjustment Rule window, enter the intended Deduction Amount, the Amount Type (Percent or Dollars), and ensure that you are deducting from Gross Pay:

				×
💄 adjustme	nt rule			
adjustment amo Enter an amount, an amo Deduction Amount Amount 25.00	unt ount type, and fro Amount Type Percent of	m which	h total to deduct. Set Maximum De Amount	duction Amount Type Dollars
Deduct from total:	Gross Pay	Ŧ	Deduct from total:	v
 Only apply this rule if when to apply the Always apply this rule 	i all of the adjustm nis rule • () Only apply w	hent can	be deducted	
0 🛦				B Save

Once the information has been entered, select "Save".

Within the "Main" tab of the adjustment details, you will need to have selected an "Authority" and entered a "Sequence" of "1" in order for the DOR garnishment adjustment to calculate as intended:

Note The DOR garnishment adjustment will **not** calculate correctly if the following conditions are not met:

- An authority is **not** linked to the adjustment.
- Sequencing is **not** setup on the adjustment.

Regarding sequencing, while the state of Wisconsin may hold Child Support adjustments to a higher level of importance over DOR garnishments, you will need to setup the sequencing of adjustments as you see fit.

Please keep in mind that the aforementioned adjustments **must have different** sequencing values in order for the DOR garnishment to calculate as intended.

More information will be shared within the payroll examples later within this article.

adjustment amounts + / >	C Main	Pay/Misc		
O Deduct Greater 💿 Deduct Lesser	Adjustment	DOR	Note	
Amount	Description		Sequence	1
25.00 % of Gross	Active	×	Date Served	12/19/2022
	Frequency	Weekly	Max Monthly	\$0.00
	Start Date		Max Yearly	\$0.00
	End Date		Max Lifetime	\$0.00
	Authority	Bullwinkle Authority v	Period Max	\$0.00
	Case Number		Min After Calc	

Once the adjustment has been setup as intended, select the "Save" option at the top left to complete the setup on the employee record.

Once the DOR garnishment adjustment has been applied to all employees who need it, along with intended values/percentages, timecards are ready to be created and run through proofing & payroll.

Calculating Payroll

Due to the complexity of the DOR garnishment adjustment, this section will contain four different examples of employees being run through payroll, based on their adjustment(s) setup.

Example #1 - Calculates as Intended

Note The following example will result in correct payroll calculations of DOR garnishment adjustments.

For this example, our employee has the one DOR garnishment adjustment applied to their record:

zzSaharal	n zzRabton		No tasks to	display for your current filt	er settings	
EAGAN, MN 55121	1 SSN: xxx-xx-7563				Currenti	v viewing 0 of 2 tasks. View more
🥚 🖩 🗆 E 🔻	Branch: High lech i	₲ ★ Ľ Q ↑ ↓	► task	s appointments	social email	+ ▼ 3 +
visifile						+ x
 details 	FINC Adjustment	Description	Active Ser	wence VTD Total I	ifetime Total MTD 1	Total WTD Total
documents		Description	Active Sec		\$0.00	\$0.00 \$0.00
 integrations evaluations 	U DOM			1 00.00	\$0.00	0.00
messages						
pay history						
 pay setup accruals 						
adjustments						
arrears						
electronic pay						
rate sheet						
taxes						
tasks						
search						
employee	adjustment smount					*
customer		*/*	Main	Pay/Misc		
order	Deduct Greater Deduct	t Lesser	Adjustment	DOR	Note	
assignment	25.00 % of Cross		Description		Sequence	1
contact	25.00 % of Gross		Active	×	Date Served	12/19/2022
contact			Frequency	Weekly	 Max Monthly 	\$0.00
pay / bill			Start Date		Max Yearly	\$0.00
calendar			End Date		Max Lifetime	\$0.00
reports			Authority	Bullwinkle Authority	 Period Max 	\$0.00
all options		+	Case Number		Min After Calc	

When running this employee through payroll, you will see the DOR garnishment adjustment taking the 25% of the gross (\$1000) and the check calculating without issue:

zzRabton, zzSał zzSaharah zzRabton 111 TOWER STREET EAGAN, MN 55121	harah		Tax Mari Tax Exem MNS USS	tal Status Sing ptions INGLE \$0.0	le 0	BankID 0 BankID 0 BankID 0 Address 55	Weekend Bill Check Date Gross Wages Net Pay	3/5/2023 3/28/2023 \$1,000.00 \$532.40
Wages	rate	units	this period	arrears	^	Other Benefits		this period
Reg: Regular Hours	\$25.00	40.00	\$1,000.00			Employer Paid Taxe	es	Amoun
	Gross Wages		\$1,000.00			CFica		\$62.00
Employee Taxes		[2			CMed		\$14.50
EFica			\$62.00			FUTA		\$6.00
EMed			\$14.50			MNFUTA		\$0.00
EmedSup			\$0.00			MNSUTA		\$3.00
MNSINGLE			\$54.94				Total Employer Taxes	\$85.50
USS			\$86.16					S. 22.
Adjustments to Net								
Department of Revenue			\$250.00					
	Net Pay		\$532.40					

Example #2 - Multiple Garnishment Adjustments w/Sequencing

Note The following example will result in correct payroll calculations of DOR garnishment adjustments.

For this example, our employee has multiple garnishment adjustments applied to their record, each with a different sequencing value:

• Sequence 1 for the generic garnishment (Garnish1) adjustment.

- Sequence 2 for the DOR garnishment adjustment.
 - zzSanya zzRacer ID: 4296226447 SSN: xxx-xx-1259 Branch: High Tech NE + ↓ ♥ ★ ⊡ Q. ↑ ↓ ▶ tasks appointments social email 48 Ave Saint Paul, MN 55101 E₩ 0 🗒 + 7 3 + visifile + × details ▼ Active Seque Adjust YTD Total MTD Tota documents QA DOR3 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 . 0 WDOR \$0.00 × integrations evaluations 0 Garnish1 Generic garnishment X 1 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 messages × \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 \$0.00 Garnish3 3 pay history pay setup accruals adjustments arrears electronic pay imputed incom rate sheet taxes tasks search employee adjustment amounts + / × Main Pay/Misc customer 🔵 Deduct Greater 💿 Deduct Lesser Adjustment QA DOR3 Note order Description WDOR Sequence assignment 25.00 % of Gr Active X Date Served 2/28/2023 = contact Frequency Weekly Max Monthly \$0.00 pay / bill Max Yearly Start Date 3/1/2023 \$0.00 calendar End Date Max Lifetime \$0.00 Period Max Authority Aident Authority \$0.00 reports Case Number 123 Min After Calc \$0.00 all options
- Sequence 3 for the other generic garnishment (Garnish3) adjustment.

When running this employee through payroll, you will see the following string of events:

- 1. The initial \$18.18 is deducted from the gross of the employee's check (\$1000) due to the generic garnishment adjustment having a sequence of "1".
- 2. The DOR garnishment adjustment now takes the 25% of the adjusted gross (\$981.82) for a total of \$245.46.

zzRacer, zzSanya								Т×
zzSanya zzRacer			Tax Mar	ital Status	Single	BankID 0	Weekend Bill	3/5/2023
48 Ave			Tax Exer	nptions		BankID 0	Check Date	3/31/2023
Saint Paul, MN 55101			MN	SINGLE	0	BankID 0 Address	Gross Wages	\$1,000.00
			PAN	A	0	55	Net Pay	\$501.81
			055		\$0.00			
Wages	rate	units	this period	ar	rears 🔺	Other Benefits		this period
Reg: Regular Hours	\$25.00	40.00	\$1,000.00			Employer Paid Taxe	s	Amount
	Gross Wages	5	\$1,000.00			CFica		\$62.00
Employee Taxes	dian	È.				CMed		\$14.50
EFica			\$62.00			FUTA		\$6.00
EMed			\$14.50			PAFUTA		\$0.00
EmedSup			\$0.00			PASUTA		\$34.50
LSTM400423			\$0.90				Total Employer Taxes	\$117.00
LSTS406602			\$0.00					
MNSINGLE			\$24.24					
PAEEUN			\$0.60					
PANA			\$30.70					
USS			\$86.16					
XPAD51029			\$10.00					
Adjustments to Net								
A generic wage garnishment			\$18.18					
Test DOR 3			\$245.46					
A generic wage garnishment			\$5.45					

Example #3 - Matching Authorities

Note The following example will result in correct payroll calculations of DOR garnishment adjustments.

For this example, our employee has multiple DOR garnishment adjustments with the **same** linked authority and proper sequencing:

zzSally zzl	Rae			No	tasks to d	lisplay for your	current filte	er settings				
5740 CHICAGO AVE MINNEAPOLIS, MN	E 1 55417	ID: 429622526 SSN: xxx-xx-90 Branch: High 1	0 12 Fech NE		tasks	annoint	monts	social	Currenti	y viewing O	of 2 tasks. V	″iew more
uicifile					tuona	арронна	mento	300101	eman		-	
details documents	EINC	Adjustment	Description	Ac	tive Sequ	uence YTD To	otal Li	ifetime Tota		Total 1	WTD Total	T A
 integrations evaluations messages pay history pay setup accruals adjustments arrears electronic pay imputed income rate sheet taxes tasks search 	0	GA DORZ			×	2	\$0.00	5	\$0.00	\$0.00		\$0.00
employee customer order	adjus O Dec	stment amounts duct Greater () Deduct It	+ / > Lesser	¢ Adju:	lain tment	Pay/Misc QA DOR2		N	ote			-
assignment	25.00 9	6 of Gross		Activ	iption	×		56	equence	1		-
contact				Frequ	iency	Weekly		* M	ax Monthly	\$0.00		
pay / bill				Start	Date	3/1/2023		I M	ax Yearly	\$0.00		
calendar				End	ate			= M	ax Lifetime	\$0.00		
reports				Auth	ority	zzTesting WI Aut	thority	- Pe	eriod Max	\$0.00		
all options				Case	Number			M	in After Calc	\$0.00		

When running this employee through proofing payroll, you will receive the following proofing warning:

✓ transact	ion proofing - error c	checking	_ ×
Select Open Week Select Session Transactions • Error Checking Finish	error checking Error check complete. The following errors were found		4 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
	Approved 🗸 Employee	Customer	Error
	zzRadcliff, zzSandy	Beach Side Cafe	Missing Sequence: Garnishment may be incorrect with Category of DOR
	zzRae, zzSally	Aperture Science	Multiple DOR garnishments with same Authority. Amount
			Cancel < Back Next >

If the proofing warning is accepted, during payroll calculation, you will see the following:

- 1. The DOR garnishment adjustment with the sequencing of "1" will calculate first, with 25% being deducted from the \$1000 gross pay.
- $2. \ The second \ DOR \ garnishment \ adjustment \ with \ the \ sequencing \ of \ "2" \ will \ calculate \ second, \ with \ 25\% \ being$

deducted from the new adjusted gross pay of \$750, totaling \$187.50.

zzRae, zzSally zzSally zzRae 5740 CHICAGO AVE MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55417			Tax Marital Tax Exempti MNSING USS	Status Single ions GLE O \$0.00	BankID 0 BankID 0 BankID 0 Address 55	Weekend Bill Check Date Gross Wages Net Pay	3/5/2023 3/28/2023 \$1,000.00 \$344.90
Wages	rate	units	this period	arrears 🛎	Other Benefits		this period
Reg: Regular Hours	\$25.00	40.00	\$1,000.00		Employer Paid Taxe	es	Amount
	Gross Wages	22	\$1,000.00		CFica		\$62.00
Employee Taxes					CMed		\$14.50
EFica	5 N S 96		\$ \$62.00		FUTA		\$6.00
EMed			\$14.50		MNFUTA		\$0.00
EmedSup			\$0.00		MNSUTA		\$3.00
MNSINGLE			\$54.94			Total Employer Taxes	\$85.50
USS			\$86.16			1 1 1 A	10
Adjustments to Net							
Test			\$250.00				
Test DOR 3			\$187.50				
1	Net Pay		\$344.90				

Example #4 - Missing Sequencing

Note The following example is meant for educational purposes only and, if followed, will result in incorrect adjustment calculations.

For this example, our employee has the one DORgarnishment adjustment applied to their record **without** a sequencing value:

ZzSandy 123 MAIN ST MADISON, WI 53	zzRadcliff ID: 4296225783 3558 SSN: xxx-xx-9845 Branch: High Ted	h NE	No tasks to o	display for your current	filter settings Curr	ently viewing 0 of 2 task	s. View more
🥏 🗒 🖻 E 🔻	+ +	G★ ĽQ ↑ ↓	► task	s appointment	s social emai	i	+ 7 3 +
visifile • details documents • integrations • evaluations messages • pay history accruals adjustments acruals adjustments electronic pay imputed income rate sheet taxes tasks search	EINC Adjustment O DOR	Description	Active Seq	uence YTD Total \$0.00	Ufetime Total M	TD Total WTD Total \$0.00	+ x \$0.00
employee	adjustment amount	s + / x	Main	Pay/Misc			Ŧ
customer	O Deduct Greater 💿 Dedu	ct Lesser	Adjustment	DOR	Note		
order	Amount	•	Description		Sequence		
assignment	25.00 % of Gross		Active	×	Date Serve	d 2/28/2023	=
contact			Frequency	Weekly	 Max Mont 	hly \$0.00	
pay / bill			Start Date	3/1/2023	Max Yearly	\$0.00	
calendar			End Date		Max Lifetir	ne \$0.00	
reports			Authority	Castle County	 Period Ma 	× \$0.00	
all options		-	Case Number		Min After	Calc	

When running this employee through proofing payroll, you will receive the following proofing warning:

🗹 transact	ion proofing - error c	hecking	_ ×
Select Open Week Select Session Transactions • Error Checking Finish	Error checking Error check complete. The following errors were found		소 등 🖷 よ 🖿 등 소
	Approved 🖌 Employee	Customer	Error
	zzRadcliff, zzSandy	Beach Side Cafe	Missing Sequence: Garnishment may be incorrect with Category of DOR
	zzRae, zzSally	Aperture Science	Multiple DOR garnishments with same Authority. Amount garnished may result in an overpayment
			Cancel < Back Next >

If the proofing warning is accepted, during payroll calculation, you will see that instead of \$250 being deducted from the \$1000 **gross** pay, it was only \$187.02 being deducted from the **net** pay due to the sequencing not existing within the adjustment setup:

zzRadcliff, zzSar	ndv	-		100				Т×
zzSandy zzRadcliff 123 MAIN ST MADISON, WI 53558			Tax Mar Tax Exer USS WIS CAS	ital Status mptions INGLE	Single \$0.00 0 0	BankID 0 BankID 0 BankID 0 Address 55	Weekend Bill Check Date Gross Wages Net Pay	3/5/2023 3/28/2023 \$1,000.00 \$561.06
Wages	rate	units	this period	arre	ars 🛎	Other Benefits		this period
Reg: Regular Hours	\$25.00	40.00	\$1,000.00			Employer Paid Taxe	s	Amount
	Gross Wages		\$1,000.00			CAFUTA		\$6.00
Employee Taxes	a dias					CASUTA		\$46.00
CAS			\$33.82			CASUTAETT		\$1.00
CASDI			\$11.00			CFica		\$62.00
EFica			\$62.00			CMed		\$14.50
EMed			\$14.50			FUTA		\$6.00
EmedSup			\$0.00				Total Employer Taxes	\$135.50
USS			\$86.16					
WISINGLE			\$44.44					
Adjustments to Net								
Department of Revenue			\$187.02		_			
	Net Pay		\$561.06					

Note As stated previously within this article, it is required that DOR garnishment adjustments be linked to an authority and have proper sequencing to ensure payroll is being calculated accurately based on the setup of

the respective adjustment.

Example #5 - Competing Sequence with Different Authorities

Note The following example is meant for educational purposes only and, if followed, will result in incorrect adjustment calculations.

For this example, our employee has multiple garnishment adjustments with the same sequencing of "1":



When running this employee through payroll, you will receive the following payroll error that states "Duplicate Sequence: Fix duplicate DOR sequence and recalculate. Must be unique to calculate properly.":

		_ ×
🖃 payroll -	calculate	
Start Setup Existing Runs	calculating payroll	Check Date: 3/28/2023 Run: 29179
	errors	
Transactions Verify Transactions	Approve Employee	Error Message
Calculate View Checks Payroll Summary	zzRabton, zzSaharah	$Employ_{AS}^ve$ has an active accrual but no accrual was calculated on the check
	zzRadcliff, zzSandy	Employee has an active accrual but no accrual was calculated on the check
Print Checks	zzRae, zzSally	Employee has an active accrual but no accrual was calculated on the check
	zzRafael, zzSarah	Duplicate Sequence: Fix duplicate DOR sequence and recalculate. Must be u.
		6
5 - S.B. 1		
		Cancel < Back Next >

Note It is at this point that you must update the sequencing of adjustments on the employee record for the DOR garnishment adjustment to calculate as intended.

Due to this being an example, if you choose to approve the payroll error, you will see the following:

• Due to the conflicting sequencing, both garnishment adjustments calculate based on the \$1000 gross instead of calculating properly. Therefore, with this example, the DOR adjustment is calculating incorrectly as it should be calculating off \$990 (\$1000 - \$10 from the first garnishment adjustment). Instead, it is calculating off the original \$1000 gross.

zzRafael, zzSara	h			12				Т×
zzSarah zzRafael 345 BEACH RD EAGAN, MN 55121			Tax Mari Tax Exem MNS USS	tal Status options INGLE	Single 0 \$0.00	BankID 0 BankID 0 BankID 0 Address 55	Weekend Bill Check Date Gross Wages Net Pay	3/5/2023 3/28/2023 \$1,000.00 \$522.40
Wages	rate	units	this period	arre	ars -	Other Benefits		this period
Reg: Regular Hours	\$25.00	40.00	\$1,000.00			MN Sick Time		1.3320
	Gross Wages	2.5	\$1,000.00			Employer Paid Taxe	s	Amoun
Employee Taxes						CFica		\$62.00
EFica			\$62.00			CMed		\$14.50
EMed			\$14.50			FUTA		\$6.00
EmedSup			\$0.00			MNFUTA		\$0.00
MNSINGLE			\$54.94			MNSUTA		\$3.00
USS			\$86.16				Total Employer Taxes	\$85.50
Adjustments to Net								
Small cash loan			\$10.00					
Test	2		\$250.00					
/9	Net Pay		\$522.40					

Note As stated previously within this article, it is required that DOR garnishment adjustments be linked to an authority and have proper sequencing to ensure payroll is being calculated accurately based on the setup of the respective adjustment.

Related Articles